



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS


320.56
ON1

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.47, No.15

April 21st, 2011



HE CHOSE A CROSS - WHEN HE COULD HAVE HAD WORLDLY POWER

HOLY WEEK April 2011

"When the world had its beginning, the Word was already there; and the Word was with God; and the Word was God. This Word was in the beginning with God" – John 1:1

There is the verdict that Jesus was a good man (verse 12). That verdict is true, but it is not the whole truth. It was Napoleon who made the famous remark: "I know men, and Jesus Christ is more than a man." Jesus was indeed truly man; but in him was the mind of God. There is the verdict that he was a prophet (verse 40). That too is true. The prophet is the forth-teller of the will of God, the man who has lived so close to God that he knows his mind and purposes. That is true of Jesus; but there is this difference. The prophet says: "Thus saith the Lord." His authority is borrowed and delegated. His message is not his own. Jesus says: "I say unto you."

There is the verdict that he was a man of courage (verse 26). No one could ever doubt his sheer courage. He had the moral courage to defy convention and be different. He had the physical courage that could bear the most terrible pain. He had the courage to go on when his family abandoned him, and his friends forsook him, and one of his own circle betrayed him. Here we see him courageously entering Jerusalem when to enter it was to enter the lions' den. He "feared God so much that he never feared the face of any man." He chose a Cross when he might have had power. He was the Suffering Servant when he might have been the conquering king. He washed the feet of his disciples when he might have had men kneeling at his own feet. He came to serve when he could have subjected the world to servitude. It is not common sense that the words of Jesus give us, but uncommon sense. He turned the world's standards upside down, because into a mad world he brought the supreme sanity of God. – **William Barclay, *Daily Study Bible of the Gospel of John 7.***

ANZAC DAY, 25th APRIL, 2011: "WE WILL REMEMBER THEM"

THE "DREADED" LEAGUE OF RIGHTS: A BLUSHING TULIP'S REPLY by **Peter Ewer:** The anti-carbon rally has been and gone. But the presence of the League was noted by the media and typical comments made: "the anti-Semitic League of Rights". Excuse me, but 26 years ago the late, great Eric Butler decisively knocked that one on the head. In his 1985 booklet, "Phillip Adams'

IN THIS ISSUE • *He Chose A Cross – When He Could Have Had Worldly Power* • *The "Dreaded" League Of Rights: A Blushing Tulip's Reply* • *Adams' Spews Forth His Vitriol Against 'The Lion Of Freedom'* • *Now For Pastor Chris Field's Dealings With Macquarie Bank* • *Forget About Hype And Political Spin-Doctoring: The Question Is*

"ON TARGET"

April 21st, 2011

Page 1 (57)

Invitation Accepted: The Truth about the Australian League of Rights". This booklet is well worth rereading, for it shows that the Australian League of Rights is a conservative Christian social credit service organisation, about as far away from collectivist National *Socialism* as you can get.

However a lesser person's response is: what do you mean? And where is your proof? The League has been critical of various Zionist activities but has never taken an anti-Jewish stance. It has not been anti-Israeli and has never supported the notion that Israel should be destroyed. Rather, specific actions of Israel have been criticised as have the actions of other nations. Sometimes writers here have pointed out the threat that Iran and multiculturalism poses to Jews.

For a while the Greens were deep in thought, pondering, pondering. Did the party-party fail to win the seat of Marrickville because of the Israel boycott issue? Bobby Brown thinks from "feedback from the electorate" that the Israel boycott issue may have had an effect. But I find this hard to believe. How many voters in the seat of Marrickville could even correctly point to Israel's location in an outline-map of the world? But boycotting Israel is now a popular cause among the hard Left, especially on University campuses.

Attacking Israel's right to exist is a politically correct cause among this new crop of radicals. Anti-Israel posters can usually be found on university campuses. Further, although the Greens are distancing themselves from the boycott of Israel, the Senate Hansard of March 23, 2011 records that when Senator Mitch Fifield (Victoria) moved that, among other things, the boycott of Israel can be condemned, Senator Bob Brown asked that "the Australian Greens' opposition to this motion be recorded." The Greens then shifted their stated position when the present "boycott Israel" controversy erupted.

The media would be better off attacking these hard left radicals than pushing the long-refuted idea that the League is anti-Semitic or even anti-Israel. Those who respect race and nation, should have a "live and let live" attitude to all peoples.

ADAMS' SPEWS FORTH HIS VITRIOL AGAINST 'THE LION OF FREEDOM' by Betty Luks:

In *The Australian* newspaper Phillip Adams once again vented his spiteful spleen against the League of Rights and in particular against that great Australian Eric Dudley Butler. Eric gave himself fully in a lifetime of service to his nation and people. <http://www.alor.org/NewTimes%20Survey/The%20Lion%20of%20Freedom%20.htm#1a>

Adams commences: "Organised by the brainless bigots of shock-jockery, following the example of their American heroes in talking up the toxic Tea Party, a Canberra rally ostensibly about carbon tax was addressed by Tony Abbott and wisely boycotted by Hockey and Turnbull.

My comment: All the above is to keep Tony Abbott and the rest of the Coalition quiet on issues of great national importance. One can only hope and pray that more and more politicians will grow a spine and stand tall in front of their fellow Australians - and give the people genuine leadership.

Adams continues: "Climate change denial? There were deeper, darker purposes to the gathering. The crowd contained many members of deranged cults owing their existence to a truly evil man called Eric Butler. Shortly before his death in 2006, aged 90, Australia's most virulent anti-Semite complained bitterly to the Press Council about some columns I'd written to expose him and his League of Rights.

I'd wanted to remind the National Party, first infiltrated by the league in its Country Party days, of what these thugs were really on about - and to prevent such foolish Liberal ministers as Alexander Downer sharing public platforms with Butler's acolytes. Not that Downer went as far as my old friend Jim Killen who, sadly, had backed the league in the 1960s, even travelling with Butler to Europe. Let me now warn Tony Abbott, and any shock-jock who can read."

Our Answer to Slander: Eric documented all details that led up to the attacks upon him in the book "The Truth About the Australian League of Rights", now out of print, but Jeremy Lee also answered all of Adams' slanderous lies and the answers were recorded on "An Answer to Slander". We have great pleasure in putting that presentation on our website for our fellow Australians to make up their own minds. For those who would like a full-definition copy of the DVD it is \$12.00 posted.

As to the slander that Eric was a traitor during WWII, we will post up Eric's official War Record details on our website. Eric never personally collected his Service medals, he was far too busy traipsing the length and breadth of this land seeking to warn his fellow Australians about the politicians' sell-out of our sovereignty to the internationalists and the utopian United Nations' New World Order. Be that as it may, Bruce Ruxton, at the time President of the Victorian Returned Services League, presented Eric with his Service medals at the 1990 "New Times Dinner" in Melbourne Victoria.

Adams article here: <http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/opinion/a-warning-for-tony/story-e6frg7fx-1226034302796>

Read about The Lion of Freedom here: <http://www.alor.org/NewTimes%20Survey/The%20Lion%20of%20Freedom%20.htm>

NOW FOR PASTOR CHRIS FIELD'S DEALINGS WITH MACQUARIE BANK: First I need to explain *On Target*, Vol.31, No.32, reported on the derivatives scam as early as August 1995: "NEW BANKING REGULATIONS FOR THE GLOBAL MARKET" – "The Bank of International Settlements, in Switzerland, has sent what amounts to a directive to the world's central banks, that regulations should now be introduced to force banks to raise additional capital in order to be able to absorb the kind of disaster that befell Barings Bank in Hong Kong. The Barings disaster came about allegedly as a result of junior traders speculating in currency or "derivatives" markets that exposed the bank to significantly increased risks...." Read further here: <http://www.alor.org/Volume31/Vol31No32.htm>

FORGET ABOUT HYPE AND POLITICAL SPIN-DOCTORING – QUESTION IS: Are you an Australian Homeowner with a Mortgage? If so, you really should take the time to listen to a radio interview with Pastor Chris Field. "Pastor Chris Field Attracts Army of Vic Government Enforcers for Macquarie Bank's 2nd Eviction":

<http://sosnews.org/newsfront/?p=622> Pastor Chris Field of the state of Victoria was evicted from his home for the second time, not for refusing to pay his mortgage, but daring to ask who actually held the legal mortgage document to his home. He wanted to know where his mortgage payments were going.

If you have a mortgage on your home and you think the bank has the legal document safely stored in its vaults – you need to hear this interview. The situation may not be quite what you think it is.

An Army of some 25 people invaded the home of Pastor Chris Field, evicted him and family leaving them out the front of the home with only the clothes they were wearing, but without shoes. The enforcers then erected a fence around the home to be policed by guard dogs. This show of strength by the Victorian Government on this passive family of God was larger than most sent to a hostage situation involving firearms.

If I have understood the situation correctly: The key point about all of Pastor Chris Field's queries is that he wants to know is his Mortgage Deed still held by the Macquarie Bank or was it on-sold to another institution.

In 2008 American lawyer and author Ellen Brown alerted her readers to the fact that banks had on-sold the Mortgages without their clients knowledge, and had created such a tangle that original ownership was hard to prove.

"Let the Lawsuits Begin: Banks Brace for a Storm of Litigation" – 13th July, 2008: *Source:* <http://www.webofdebt.com/articles/bracing-storm.php> Brown explains:

"In an article in *The San Francisco Chronicle* in December 2007, attorney Sean Olender suggested that the real reason for the subprime bailout schemes being proposed by the U.S. Treasury Department was not to keep strapped borrowers in their homes so much as to stave off a spate of lawsuits against the banks. The plan then on the table was an interest rate freeze on a limited number of subprime loans.

Olender wrote: "The sole goal of the freeze is to prevent owners of mortgage-backed securities, many of them foreigners, from suing U.S. banks and forcing them to buy back worthless mortgage securities at face value – right now almost 10 times their market worth. The ticking time bomb in the U.S. banking system is not resetting subprime mortgage rates. The real problem is the contractual ability of investors in mortgage bonds to require banks to buy back the loans at face value if there was fraud in the origination process.

". . . The catastrophic consequences of bond investors forcing originators to buy back loans at face value are beyond the current media discussion. The loans at issue dwarf the capital available at the largest U.S. banks combined, and investor lawsuits would raise stunning liability sufficient to cause even the largest U.S. banks to fail, resulting in massive taxpayer-funded bailouts of Fannie and Freddie, and even FDIC . . . "

What would be prudent and logical is for the banks that sold this toxic waste to buy it back and for a lot of people to go to prison. If they knew about the fraud, they should have to buy the bonds back."

Toxic subprime paper-writing – design of banks' 'financial products': The thought could send a chill through even the most powerful of investment bankers, including Treasury Secretary Henry Paulson himself, who was head of Goldman Sachs during the heyday of toxic subprime paper-writing from 2004 to 2006. Mortgage fraud has not been limited to the representations made to borrowers or on loan documents but is in the design of the banks' "financial products" themselves.

Among other design flaws is that securitized mortgage debt has become so complex that ownership of the underlying security has often been lost in the shuffle; and without a legal owner, there is no one with standing to foreclose. That was the procedural problem prompting Federal District Judge Christopher Boyko to rule in October 2007 that Deutsche Bank did not have standing to foreclose on 14 mortgage loans held in trust for a pool of mortgage-backed securities holders.

If large numbers of defaulting homeowners were to contest their foreclosures on the ground that the plaintiffs lacked standing to sue, trillions of dollars in mortgage-backed securities (MBS) could be at risk. Irate securities holders might then respond with litigation that could indeed threaten the existence of the banking Goliaths."

What Australian homeowners have not been told by their governments is that they are also caught up in the tangle. Pastor Field wants to bring the matter out into the light of day.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.